The Unfinished Business of Liberation

a cause for inertia or even despair. If they failed in their plans to usher in a On one level, the inability of many great social movements to fully realize more equitable economic system, how can the climate movement hope to those parts of their visions that carried the highest price tags can be seen as

global investments required to respond to the climate threat—to adapt hubusiness of the most powerful liberation movements of the past two centueconomic demands—for basic public services that work, for decent housredistribution of agricultural lands that was supposed to follow indepenchange all that; and to get it right this time. It could deliver the equitable to avert the truly catastrophic warming we can still avoid—is a chance to manely and equitably to the heavy weather we have already locked in, and ries, from civil rights to feminism to Indigenous sovereignty. The massive ing, for land redistribution-represent nothing less than the unfinished that Martin Luther King dreamed of; it could bring jobs and clean water to dence from colonial rule and dictatorship; it could bring the jobs and homes in every South African township. Such is the promise of a Marshall Plan Native communities; it could at last turn on the lights and running water There is, however, another way of looking at this track record: these

our world is as fundamentally unequal and unfair as it remains. Those losses still have a few institutions left-from libraries to mass transit to public same time, the economic battles the movements did win are the reason we trenched poverty—poverty that deepens with each new crisis. But, at the have left a legacy of continued discrimination, double standards, and enlegal front but suffered big losses on the economic front is precisely why rights and equality regardless of ethnicity, gender, or sexual orientation; for movements, in one form or another, are still fighting today—for full human the basic services that create a dignified life. Most critically, all these past hospitals—based on the wild idea that real equality means equal access to real decolonization and reparation; for food security and farmers' against oligarchic rule; and to defend and expand the public sphere. The fact that our most heroic social justice movements won on the

> anticolonialism, indeed capitalism versus socialism, is already losing its imthe sea. "The basic confrontation which seemed to be colonialism versus ing river fed by countless streams, gathering collective force to finally reach push-that will bring together all of these still living movements. A rushfirm and unyielding deadline, climate change can be the force—the grand sis created by the extractivist worldview, and one that puts humanity on a magically succeed where others failed. Rather, as the furthest-reaching criportance," Frantz Fanon wrote in his 1961 masterwork, The Wretched of the Earth. "What matters today, the issue which blocks the horizon, is the need our chance to right those festering wrongs at last—the unfinished business no matter how devastating the consequences may be."14 Climate change is for a redistribution of wealth. Humanity will have to address this question, So climate change does not need some shiny new movement that will

are invariably the result of extraordinary levels of social mobilization. At on a scale previously unknown. Because, although there is no perfect historthat when major shifts in the economic balance of power take place, they to learn from the transformative movements of the past. One such lesson is ical analogy for the challenge of climate change, there are certainly lessons of slick professionals (though each play their part), but becomes an entirely small tribe within a culture, whether a vanguard of radicals or a subcategory those junctures, activism becomes something that is not performed by a dinary historical moments-both world wars, the aftermath of the Great sional groups, sports teams, youth leagues, and on and on. During extraorauxiliaries, gardening clubs, neighborhood assemblies, trade unions, profesnormal activity throughout society—it's rent payers associations, women's of changing society was so deeply woven into the project of life. Activist "activists" and "regular people" became meaningless because the project were, quite simply, everyone. Depression, or the peak of the civil rights era—the usual categories dividins Winning will certainly take the convergence of diverse constituencies

know what to do. There is just enough time, and we are swamped witl rising to meet the climate crisis is not that it is too late or that we don' ing. It must always be remembered that the greatest barrier to humanit Which brings us back to where we started: climate change and bad tim

green tech and green plans. And yet the reason so many of us are inclined of seizing those tools and implementing those plans, since doing so involves are afraid-with good reason-that our political class is wholly incapable to answer Brad Werner's provocative question in the affirmative is that we erned every stage of their rise to power. unlearning the core tenets of the stifling free-market ideology that gov-

about-it's us. For most of us living in postindustrial societies, when we nize? Who would we trust enough to lead us? Who, moreover, is "we"? burdens of debt and insecurities of contract work. Where would we orgasmart phones, attention spans scattered by click bait, loyalties split by the kind of thing was fine for them but surely not us-with our eyes glued to cannot imagine being part of any mobilization of that depth and scale. That victory gardens in the 1940s, and Freedom Rides in the 1960s, we simply see the crackling black-and-white footage of general strikes in the 1930s And it's not just the people we vote into office and then complain

while simultaneously severing so many of us from the broader communisingular, gratification-seeking units, out to maximize our narrow advantage. project. One that too often has taught us to see ourselves as little more than project also has led our governments to stand by helplessly for more than ties whose pooled skills are capable of solving problems big and small. This to a banging-down-the-door problem. two decades as the climate crisis morphed from a "grandchildren" problem In other words, we are products of our age and of a dominant ideological

gradually contract those parts of our economies that endanger us all. climate challenge is that it requires breaking so many rules at once-rules decades of attack and neglect. Because what is overwhelming about the tive, the communal, the commons, the civil, and the civic after so many views, a process of rebuilding and reinventing the very idea of the collecfruitless unless it is understood as part of a much broader battle of worldpower, or say no to major investments no matter how damaging, or plan to ten rules that tell us that no government can increase taxes and stay in written into national laws and trade agreements, as well as powerful unwrit-All of this is why any attempt to rise to the climate challenge will be

view. If that worldview is delegitimized, then all of the rules within it become And yet each of those rules emerged out of the same, coherent world-

> decades. Rather it comes in spasms of rapid-fire lawmaking, with one breakcome, it's generally not in legislative dribs and drabs spread out evenly over much weaker and more vulnerable. This is another lesson from social movement history across the political spectrum: when fundamental change does "populism" because it requires so much popular support and mobilization to through after another. The right calls this "shock therapy"; the left calls it period, or, for that matter, the environmental legislation of the 1960s and occur. (Think of the regulatory architecture that emerged in the New Deal

it involves choosing the right early policy battles-game-changing ones possible for workers to say no to dirty energy jobs but also because the very income. That's not only because a minimum income, as discussed, makes it means that a fight for a minimal carbon tax might do a lot less good than that don't merely aim to change laws but change patterns of thought. That for instance, forming a grand coalition to demand a guaranteed minimum throated debate about values—about what we owe to one another based on process of arguing for a universal social safety net opens up a space for a fullour shared humanity, and what it is that we collectively value more than So how do you change a worldview, an unquestioned ideology? Part of

debates during which new stories can be told to replace the ones that have economic growth and corporate profits. zational leap required of this fateful decade, we will need to start believing failed us. Because if we are to have any hope of making the kind of civilionce again, that humanity is not hopelessly selfish and greedy—the image ceaselessly sold to us by everything from reality shows to neoclassical eco Indeed a great deal of the work of deep social change involves having

sonal climate inaction, allowing many of us to view past (and present failures with compassion, rather than angry judgment. What if part of th which to confront the raw terror of ecocide? The end of the world as ν not out of acquiesence but in part because we lack the collective spaces utterly overwhelmed by how much we do care? And what if we stay siler care about an abstract or seemingly far-off problem-but because we at reason so many of us have failed to act is not because we are too selfish t Paradoxically, this may also give us a better understanding of our per

sense of moral imperative to respond, even as we fail to do so." 15 climate crisis, "Denial can-and I believe should-be understood as testa ment to our human capacity for empathy, compassion, and an underlying ing exploration of the way almost all of us suppress the full reality of the own. As the sociologist Kari Norgaard puts it in Living in Denial, a fascinat know it, after all, is not something anyone should have to face on their

only things standing between civilization and barbarism. the equal rights of all people and a capacity for deep compassion will be the already made inevitable through our past emissions, an unshakable belief in dramatically lower emissions, but also to help us cope with the disasters of the ecological crisis-embedded in interdependence rather than hyperwe can no longer to avoid. Because in the hot and stormy future we have than hierarchy. This is required not only to create a political context to individualism, reciprocity rather than dominance, and cooperation rather policy proposals but an alternative worldview to rival the one at the hear Fundamentally, the task is to articulate not just an alternative set of

cost-benefit arguments a rest and speak of right and wrong, of love and somewhat ephemeral and difficult to quantify—was central to their work all of them understood that the process of shifting cultural values—though They were also unafraid of the language of morality—to give the pragmatic the political imagination and rapidly altered the sense of what was possible modeled different values in their own behavior, and in the process liberated And so they dreamed in public, showed humanity a better version of itself This is another lesson from the transformative movements of the past

sides of the Atlantic would embrace such pragmatic arguments. laborers had a greater incentive to work hard. 16 Many abolitionists on both costs of the "wear and tear" of their human property but, he claimed, paid performed by slaves": not only were slave owners responsible for the high Work by paid laborers, he argued, "comes cheaper in the end than that had little to do with morality and everything to do with the bottom line In The Wealth of Nations, Adam Smith made a case against slavery that

ment put considerably more emphasis on the moral travesties of slavery ramped up in Britain in the late eighteenth century, much of the move However, as the push to abolish the slave trade (and later, slavery itself)

> and had even attempted to efface all title to the divine image from their pulse of avarice, had trampled under-foot the sacred rights of their nature. their fellow-creatures, and those who, through vicious custom and the imcontest between those who felt deeply for the happiness and the honour of abolitionist Thomas Clarkson described the battle over the slave trade as "a and the corrosive worklview that made it possible. Writing in 1808, British

a slumbering conscience, shamed a proud sinner, or changed, in any way American slave, is your 4th of July?" 18 very foundations of American patriotism with questions like "What, to the indispensable to that goal were the voices of freed slaves themselves, people the conduct of a human being. Our aim is to alter public opinion." And any weapon which ever broke up the crust of an ignorant prejudice, roused and always unjustifiable; else we dare not, in so desperate a case, throw away like Frederick Douglass, who, in his writing and oratory, challenged the indignant denunciation, scathing sarcasm, and pitiless ridicule are wholly starker and more uncompromising. In an 1853 speech, the famed abolition. the harshest terms defended slavery. "Prove to me now that harsh rebuke ist orator Wendell Phillips insisted on the right to denounce those who in The rhetoric and arguments of American abolitionists could be ever

society something more than an endless contest of greed and power."19 eighteenth century, who were willing to condemn an institution that had ceptable in the first place. "The abolition of New World slavery depended so much at stake. As the historian David Brion Davis writes, abolitionists been sanctioned for thousands of years and who also strove to make human the emergence of writers, speakers, and reformers, beginning in the midin large measure on a major transformation in moral perception-or to try to change the deeply entrenched values that had made slavery acunderstood that their role was not merely to ban an abhorrent practice but This kind of fiery, highly polarizing rhetoric was typical of a battle with

ting a monetary value on granting equal rights and freedoms. They won by arguments as part of building their case for justice, they did not win by put to universal health care. Though these movements all contained economic life is at the heart of all major progressive victories, from universal suffrage This same understanding about the need to assert the intrinsic value of

the battle for a stable climate by trying to beat the bean counters at their and were inherent to each of us. Similarly, there are plenty of solid ecodren of their right to live in a world teeming with the wonders and beauties there is an acceptable price for allowing entire countries to disappear, for serting that such calculations are morally monstrous, since they imply that in emission reduction now than disaster response later. We will win by asown game-arguing, for instance, that it is more cost-effective to invest investors are realizing. And that's worth pointing out. But we will not win nomic arguments for moving beyond fossil fuels, as more and more patient asserting that those rights and freedoms were too valuable to be measured leaving untold millions to die on parched land, for depriving today's chil-

stage, but it is most certainly clearing its throat—beginning to put the very are calling on the streets and increasingly in the courts for intergenerational Some of the voices of moral clarity are coming from the very young, who ternational climate commitments alongside history's most damned crimes. real thefts and torments that ineluctably flow from the decision to mock inall, those clarion voices are coming from the front lines of Blockadia, from that "to serve as custodians of creation is not an empty title; it requires that and early climate destabilization. those lives most directly impacted by both high-risk fossil fuel extraction we act, and with all the urgency this dire situation demands."20 Most of has joined the fossil fuel divestment movement with enthusiasm, declaring like Nobel laureate Desmond Tutu, former archbishop of Cape Town, who justice. Some are coming from great social justice movements of the past, The climate movement has yet to find its full moral voice on the world

Suddenly, Everyone

cide they have had enough, defying all experts and forecasters-from the that saw city centers taken over by demonstrators for months, to Occupy Arab Spring (tragedies, betrayals, and all), to Europe's "squares movement" Recent years have been filled with moments when societies suddenly de-Wall Street, to the student movements of Chile and Quebec. The Mexican

> that seem to melt cynicism on contact as the "effervescence of rebellion." 21 journalist Luis Hernández Navarro describes those rare political moments

often come as a surprise-most of all to the movements' own organizers. consumed with the demand for transformational change, is that they so to be out in the plaza alongside us." And the real surprise, for all indreaming up impossible schemes, the next day the entire country seemed I've heard the story many times: "One day it was just me and my friends we long for more and in that longing have more company than we ever volved, is that we are so much more than we have been told we are—that What is most striking about these upwellings, when societies become

politically realistic today may have very little to do with what's politicall' scientist at the Stockholm Environment Institute, puts it like this: "What" will, sadly, provide no shortage of potential sparks. Sivan Kartha, senio saster, or some kind of political scandal. We do know that a warming work whether it will be precipitated by an economic crisis, another natural direalistic after another few Hurricane Katrinas and another few Superstorn Sandys and another few Typhoon Bophas hit us."22 It's true: the world tend turned to garbage. accumulate are suddenly floating down the street, or smashed to piece: to look a little different when the objects we have worked our whole lives to No one knows when the next such effervescent moment will open, or

change, as we have seen, landed on the public agenda at the peak of fre its persuasive power (if not yet its political and economic power). And the are today significantly eroded. Free market ideology has been discredite market, end-of-history triumphalism, which was very bad timing indeed by decades of deepening inequality and corruption, stripping it of much juncture. Many of the barriers that paralyzed a serious response to the cris Its do-or-die moment, however, comes to us at a very different historic: various forms of magical thinking that have diverted precious energy—fro place it will only be because leadership bubbled up from below. us that no one is going to step in and fix this crisis; that if change is to ta aires—are also fast losing their grip. It is slowly dawning on a great many blind faith in technological miracles to the worship of benevolent billio The world also doesn't look much like it did in the late 1980s. Climat

We are also significantly less isolated than many of us were even a decade ago: the new structures built in the rubble of neoliberalism—everything from social media to worker co-ops to farmer's markets to neighborhood sharing banks—have helped us to find community despite the fragmentation of postmodern life. Indeed, thanks in particular to social media, a great many of us are continually engaged in a cacophonous global conversation that, however maddening it is at times, is unprecedented in its reach and

Given these factors, there is little doubt that another crisis will see us in the streets and squares once again, taking us all by surprise. The real question is what progressive forces will make of that moment, the power and confidence with which it will be seized. Because these moments when the impossible seems suddenly possible are excruciatingly rare and precious. That means more must be made of them. The next time one arises, it must be harnessed not only to denounce the world as it is, and build fleeting pockets of liberated space. It must be the catalyst to actually build the world that will keep us all safe. The stakes are simply too high, and time too short, to settle for anything less.

A year ago, I was having dinner with some newfound friends in Athens. I asked them for ideas about what questions I should put to Alexis Tsipras, the young leader of Greece's official opposition party and one of the few sources of hope in a Europe ravaged by austerity.

Someone suggested, "Ask him: History knocked on your door, did you

That's a good question, for all of us-